

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

U.S. ARMY RESERVE PERSONNEL COMMAND 1 RESERVE WAY ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63132-5200

AFR C 0 2003

Special Inquiries Team

arrived by overnight mail 4.17.03

Ms Devvy Kidd PO Box 60543 Sacramento, CA, 95860-0543

Dear Ms Kidd:

On April 8, 2003, I responded to your Freedom of Information Act requests dated May 28, 2002 and December 13, 2002.

I disclosed a copy of a discharge order; however, the social security number and other personal information contained in the discharge order were withheld under Title 5, U.S. Code, §552(b)(6). I determined that release of said information would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. I also determined that no other records within the scope of your requests were available at this command.

I advised you that you had the option to appeal each of my determinations in writing within 60 days of the date of my letter. Your January 6, 2003, appeal was received January 15, 2003. I advised you that I would accept your January 6, 2003, appeal as an appeal to my April 8, 2003, determinations.

Pursuant to Army Regulation 25-55, your appeal has been forwarded to the Secretary of the Army, Office of the General Counsel, Attention: V. Newhouse, 104 Army Pentagon, Room 2E725, Washington, DC, 20310-0104, for appropriate action and a direct response.

Sincerely,

Elton C. Bruce

Colonel, U.S. Army

Commanding

1	(m)	Other Matters:	None.	-
2	Dated	May, 2003		
3	Dateu.	May, 2005		DEVVY KIDD
4				Plaintiff Pro Se
5	Dated	May 21, 2003		McGREGOR W. SCOTT
6	Jacou.	,,		United States Attorney
7				(HATIL. V
8				By: YOSHINORI H. T. HIMEL
9				Assistant U. S. Attorney
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U.S. ARMY RESERVE PERSONNEL COMMAND

1 RESERVE WAY ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63132-5200

APR C 8 2003

Special Inquiries Team

arrived by overlight mail 4.17.03

Ms Devvy Kidd PO Box 60543 Sacramento, CA, 95860-0543

Dear Ms Kidd:

This is in response to your request for military medical and personnel records pertaining to Timothy James McVeigh. Your May 28, 2002, request letter was received in this agency on August 1, 2002. Your December 8, 2002, request letter was received in this agency on December 13, 2002. Your requests were processed under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). This letter addresses both requests. Fees allowable under the FOIA are waived.

A copy of a discharge order is enclosed. The social security number and other personal information contained in the discharge order are being withheld under Title 5, U.S. Code, §552(b)(6). I have determined that release of said information would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. You may appeal this determination using the procedures described below.

I have also determined that no other records within the scope of your requests are available at this command. A "no records" determination may be considered an adverse determination. If you consider my no records determination to be an adverse determination, you may appeal this determination using the procedures described below.

In light of the above, your request is partially denied. This partial denial is made by the undersigned under authority delegated to me by Lieutenant General James R. Helmly, Chief, Army Reserve. You may appeal this partial denial. Any appeal you wish to submit must be done in writing, within 60 calendar days of the date of this letter, to this Command, Attention: ARPC-ZCC-A. Your appeal should contain a copy of this letter and outline the basis on which you disagree with the partial denial. Upon receipt of any appeal you may submit, I will forward it to the Secretary of the Army, Office of the General Counsel, Attention: V. Newhouse, 104 Army Pentagon, Room 2E725, Washington, DC, 20310-0104, for a final determination.

-2 -I recognize that my determinations above are substantially the same as the determinations made by Ms Wanda Farrow in her 2 responses to you on August 9, 2002 and December 20, 2002. I also recognize that you appealed her determinations in writing on January 6, 2003. In light thereof, I accept your January 6, 2003 appeal as an appeal to my determinations. I will notify you by separate correspondence when your appeal is forwarded to Secretary of the Army General Counsel. Sincerely, Elton C. Bruce Colonel, U.S. Army Commanding **Enclosures**

NOF

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U. S. ARMY RESERVE PERSONNEL CENTER \$700 PAGE AVENUE ST. LOUIS, MG 63132-5200

ARPC-5FS-R DRDERS D-05-642653 14 MAY BE

MOVETCH TIMOTHY JAMES

SFS-R

USAR CONTROL GROUP (AT)

YOU ARE DISCHARGED FROM THE COMPONENT SHOWN.

AUTHORITY: AR 135-178

EFFECTIVE DATE: 14 MAY 96

COMPONENT: UNITED STATES ARMY RESERVE
TOPE OF DISCHARGE: HONORABLE

ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONS: 1F RESERVE ID DD FORM 2A LREDI IS IN YOUR POSSESSION

RETURN IT TO THIS HOS. ATTN: ARPC-PAS-EVC. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS IN THE

FUTURE ABOUT YOUR MILITARY RECORDS OR YOUR MILITARY SERVICE. ASK THE

NATIONAL PERSONNEL RECORDS DENTER, 9700 PAGE BLVD ST LOUIS MISSOURI

62132-5200. AS YOU NO LONGER HAVE ANY MILITARY STATUS, YOUR RECORDS ARE NO

LONGER HELD BY THE ARMY. REMEMBER TO INCLUDE YOUR FULL NAME, SOCIAL SECURITY

NUMBER AND ALL PREVIOUS SERVICE NUMBERS SO THAT YOUR RECORD CAN BE

POSITIVELY IDENTIFIED. SERVICE

"SUBJECT BEING DISCHARGED ALTHOUGH ASSIGNED TO THIS ORGANIZATION.

IS NOT PRESENT FOR DUTY. DISCHARGE CERTIFICATE AND DISCHARGE ORDERS

ARE BEING MAILED TO LAST KNOWN ADDRESS THIS DATE, 08 July 1996

FORMAT: 500

* ARPERCEN *

DFFICIAL *

NORMAN S. BRINSLEY COL, SF COMMANDING

DISTRIBUTION: H2 PACKET: 15



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL 104 ARMY PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20310-0104 April 21, 2003



REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

Ms. Devvy Kidd P.O. Box 60543 Sacramento, California 95860

Dear Ms. Kidd:

This letter acknowledges our receipt of your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) appeal dated January 6, 2003, from the U.S. Army Reserve Personnel Command.

Your appeal will be reviewed on a first-in, first-out basis. We want to assure you that we will review your appeal in turn and respond to you as expeditiously as possible.

Sincerely,

Victoria Jekey Newhouse Assistant to the General Counsel McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney YOSHINORI H. T. HIMEL #66194 Assistant U. S. Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2760

Attorneys for Defendant

ORIGINAL

MAY 2 7 2003

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 DEVVY KIDD,

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff,

ν.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY,

Defendant.

CIV. S-03-0225-DFL/GGH-PS

DEFENDANT'S SEPARATE STATUS REPORT

Defendant has been unable to secure plaintiff's cooperation in making a Joint Status Report in compliance with the Order Requiring Timely Service and Joint Status Report filed February 5, 2003. On April 24, 2003, plaintiff filed a "Timely Service and Status Report," that is, a separate status report not complying with that order. Exhibit A hereto. On May 21, 2003, defense counsel wrote to plaintiff enclosing a proposed Joint Status Report. Letter, Exhibit B hereto; proposed joint report, Exhibit C hereto. On May 27, 2003, plaintiff wrote defense counsel saying "I feel I have fulfilled my obligation to the court." Exhibit D hereto.

Accordingly, defendant makes its separate status report as follows:

- (a) <u>Progress in Serving Process</u>: Plaintiff contends that her service of process is good. Defendant contends that plaintiff failed to serve the summons and complaint by certified mail on the Department of the Army at Washington, D.C. and the Attorney General at Washington, D.C., and failed to direct a copy to the Civil Process Clerk at the United States Attorney's Office, as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(i).
 - (b) Possible Joinder of Additional Parties: None suggested.
- (c) <u>Expected or Desired Amendment of Pleadings</u>: None contemplated.
- (d) <u>Jurisdiction and Venue</u>: Subject matter jurisdiction is under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B). In personam jurisdiction is contested, see (a) above. Venue is not contested.
- (e) Anticipated Motions and their Scheduling: Defendant contemplates being able to file a FOIA summary judgment motion within 60 days.
- (f) Report Required by Rule 26 Outlining Discovery Plan and Its Scheduling, Including Disclosure of Expert Witnesses: Plaintiff filed a purported Rule 26 disclosure under the title "Rule 26(a) Conference" on April 24, 2003. Plaintiff's separate status report, item f, says plaintiff contemplates no discovery.

Defendant made its Rule 26 disclosures by letter dated May 21, 2003. Defendant plans to use items mentioned in its Rule 26 disclosures as exhibits to a summary judgment motion under <u>Vaughn v. Rosen</u>, and does not contemplate further discovery.

(g) <u>Cut-off Dates for Discovery and Law and Motion</u>, and <u>Dates</u> <u>for Pretrial Conference and Trial</u>: See (h) below.

- (h) <u>Special Procedures</u>: FOIA cases normally are decided on summary judgment motion. Because of this, it is suggested that the Court schedule a motion filing, see (e) above, but that the Court not set the case for pretrial and trial.
- (i) <u>Estimated Trial Time</u>: Defendant knows of no triable issues of fact and therefore cannot estimate trial time.
- (j) <u>Modification of Standard Pretrial Procedures</u>: See (h) above.
- (k) Whether the Case Is Related to Any Other Case, Including
 Bankruptcy: None known.
- (1) Whether a Settlement Conference Should Be Scheduled: Not recommended.
 - (m) Other Matters: None.

Dated: May 27, 2003

McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney

By:

YOSHINORI H. T. HIMEL

Assistant U. S. Attorney

ORIGINAL FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA APR 2 4 2003

DEVVY KIDD,

2133 Sherington Way

Sacramento, California 95835

Plaintiff,

v.

Department of the Army
U.S. Army Reserve
Personnel Command

1 Reserve Way
St. Louis, MO 63132

Defendant.

Timely Service and Status Report

(a) Service of process

Defendant was served as follows:

Yoshinori H. T. Himel United States Attorney 501 I Street Suite 10-100 Sacramento, California 95814

Certified Mail receipt 7001 0360 0004 3146 9305 was signed for on February 10, 2003.

- (b) Joinder of additional parties. None.
- (c) Amendment of pleadings. None.
- (d) Jurisdiction and venue. Defendant is an agency of the U.S. Government.
- (e) Anticipated motions. None.

(f) Anticipated discovery and disclosure of expert witnesses. None.

(g) Future proceedings, including setting appropriate deadlines for discovery, motions,

and scheduling the pretrial conference and trial.

Plaintiff has not received any notification from the court for pretrial conference as of

April 22, 2003. Plaintiff has received Defendant's Answer for this case.

(h) Estimate of length of trial. One hour.

(i) Modification of standard pretrial procedures specified by the rules due to the relative

simplicity or complexity of the action or proceedings. None.

(j) Whether the case is related to any other case, including any matter in bankruptcy. Case

CIV. S-03-0222-EGN PAN-PS is not related to any others.

(k) Whether a settlement conference should be scheduled. None.

(1) Any other matters that may add to the just and expeditious disposition of this matter.

If Defendant would turn over all the documents requested under the original FOIA, this

matter could be concluded without a trial. There are no national security or privacy issues

regarding Plaintiff's FOIA request. The subject of the FOIA has been dead for almost

two years.

Respectfully submitted:

Devvy Kidd (

2133 Sherington Way

Sacramento, California 95835

916-928-0199

U.S. Department of Justice

United States Attorney Eastern District of California

501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, California 95814 916/554-2700 Private line 916/554-2760 Fax 916/554-2900

May 21, 2003

Ms. Devvy Kidd P.O. Box 60543 2133 Sherington Way Sacramento, CA 95835

Re: Kidd v. Dep't of Army, CIV-S-03-0225-DFL/GGH-PS

Dear Ms. Kidd:

Enclosed please find the original and one copy of a proposed Joint Status Report, due in court by May 27, 2003 according to my calculation under the Order Requiring Timely Service and Joint Status Report filed February 5, 2003. I have signed the proposed joint report. Would you please examine it. If it is satisfactory, please sign and send me the original. The copy is for your files. A business reply envelope is enclosed for your convenience.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Very truly yours,

McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney

D. . .

YOSHINORI H. T. HIMEL

Assistant U.S. Attorney

cc: MAJ Samuel Morris, by fax to 703-696-2532

McGREGOR W. SCOTT 1 United States Attorney YOSHINORI H. T. HIMEL #66194 2 Assistant U. S. Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 3 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2760 4 Attorneys for Defendant 5 6 7 8

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 DEVVY KIDD,

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Plaintiff,

v.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY,

Defendant.

CIV. S-03-0225-DFL/GGH-PS

PARTIES' JOINT STATUS REPORT

The parties to the captioned action, in compliance with the Order Requiring Timely Service and Joint Status Report filed February 5, 2003, make their joint status report as follows:

- (a) <u>Progress in Serving Process</u>: Plaintiff contends that her service of process is good. Defendant contends that plaintiff failed to serve the summons and complaint by certified mail on the Department of the Army at Washington, D.C. and the Attorney General at Washington, D.C., and failed to direct a copy to the Civil Process Clerk at the United States Attorney's Office, as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(i).
 - (b) Possible Joinder of Additional Parties: None suggested.

- (d) <u>Jurisdiction and Venue</u>: Subject matter jurisdiction is under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(b). In personam jurisdiction is contested, see (a) above. Venue is not contested.
- (e) Anticipated Motions and their Scheduling: Defendant contemplates being able to file a FOIA summary judgment motion within 60 days.
- (f) Report Required by Rule 26 Outlining Discovery Plan and Its Scheduling, Including Disclosure of Expert Witnesses: Defendant has made its Rule 26 disclosures by letter dated Mary 21, 2003.

 Defendant plans to use items mentioned in the Rule 26 disclosures as exhibits to a summary judgment motion under Vaughn v. Rosen.

 Defendant does not contemplate further discovery.
- (g) <u>Cut-off Dates for Discovery and Law and Motion</u>, and <u>Dates</u> for Pretrial <u>Conference and Trial</u>: See (h) below.
- (h) Special Procedures: The overwhelming majority of FOIA cases are decided on summary judgment motion. Because of this, it is suggested that the Court schedule a motion filing, see (e) above, but not set the case for pretrial and trial.
- (i) <u>Estimated Trial Time</u>: Defendant knows of no triable issues of fact.
- (j) <u>Modification of Standard Pretrial Procedures</u>: See (h) above.
- (k) Whether the Case Is Related to Any Other Case, Incluiding
 Bankruptcy: None known.
- (1) Whether a Settlement Conference Should Be Scheduled: Not recommended.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U.S. ARMY RESERVE PERSONNEL COMMAND 1 RESERVE WAY

ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63132-5200

APR 6 8 2003

Special Inquiries Team

arrived by overnight mail 4.17.03

Ms Devvy Kidd PO Box 60543 Sacramento, CA, 95860-0543

Dear Ms Kidd:

On August 9, 2002, I responded to your request for military medical and personnel records pertaining to Timothy James McVeigh dated May 28, 2002. On December 20, 2002, I responded to your second request for military medical and personnel records pertaining to Timothy James McVeigh dated December 8, 2002. Each request was processed under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

In each instance, I provided a copy of a discharge order. Again in each instance, the social security number and other personal information contained in the discharge order were withheld under Title 5, U.S. Code, §552(b)(6), based on my determination that release of said information would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. Also in each instance I advised you that no other records within the scope of your requests were available at this command. I concluded each letter advising you of the manner in which you could appeal my determinations should you so elect.

This letter is to advise you that my office does not possess the administrative authority to render these determinations for the U.S. Army Reserve Personnel Command. Consequently, each of your requests is now being reviewed by the appropriate authority within this organization. You will soon receive an initial determination of your previous FOIA requests directly from Colonel Elton C. Bruce, Commander, U.S. Army Reserve Personnel Command, once the review is complete.

I regret any inconvenience this misunderstanding may have caused.

Sincerely,

Wanda Farrow Case Analyst

Devvy Kidd P.O. Box 60543 Sacramento, California 95860 916-928-0199 / e-mail: power@devvy.com

May 27, 2003

Mr. Yoshinori H.T. Himel Assistant U.S. Attorney U.S. Department of Justice Faxed to: 916-554-2900

Re: Kidd v. Department of Army, CIV.-S-03-0225-DFL/GGH-PS

Dear Mr. Himel:

I received the proposed 'Joint Status Report' you mailed to me dated May 21, 2003 with a request that I review and sign it.

Before I left for Washington, DC, I filed with the court two documents: Rule 26(a) Conference and Timely Service and Status Report. I sent you copies of these filings and they were signed for by someone in your office on April 25, 2003.

I feel I have fulfilled my obligation to the court. Additionally, I disagree with the content of your document.

On page 2 of your document you state that "Defendant has made its Rule 26 disclosures by letter dated Mary [typo] 21, 2003." I will be visiting the court house today to obtain a copy of this document.

Very truly yours,

Devvy Kidd

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL

The undersigned hereby certifies that she is an employee in the Office of the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of California and is a person of such age and discretion to be competent to serve papers.

That on May 77, 2003, she served a copy of:

DEFENDANT'S SEPARATE STATUS REPORT

by placing said copy in an envelope addressed to the persons hereinafter named, at the places and addresses shown below, which are the last known addresses, and mailing said envelope and contents in the U.S. Mail in Sacramento, California.

Addressees:

Ms. Devvy Kidd 2133 Sherington Way Sacramento, CA 95835

CAROL BROWN

FILED

JUN - 4 2003

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEVVY KIDD,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-03-225 DFL GGH PS

VS.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY,

Defendant.

ORDER

The parties have filed separate status reports. It appearing that the parties have made the disclosures required by Rule 26, that no further discovery is contemplated, and that this case is susceptible of resolution by motion, the court at this time will set only a date by which dispositive motions should be filed.

Accordingly, it is ordered that law and motion shall be conducted so as to be completed by October 2, 2003. The word "completed" in this context means that all law and motion matters must be heard by the above date. The parties are cautioned to refer to the local rules regarding the requirements for noticing such motions on the court's regularly scheduled law and motion calendar. This paragraph does not preclude motions for continuances, temporary restraining orders or other emergency applications

The parties should keep in mind that the purpose of law and motion is to narrow and refine the legal issues raised by the case, and to dispose of by pretrial motion those issues that are susceptible to resolution without trial. To accomplish that purpose, the parties need to identify and fully research the issues presented by the case, and then examine those issues in light of the evidence gleaned through discovery. If it appears to the parties after examining the legal issues and facts that an issue can be resolved by pretrial motion, the parties are to file the appropriate motion to be heard by the law and motion cutoff set forth supra.

Thereafter, the court will set dates for further proceedings if necessary.

DATED: June ______,2003.

GREGORY GAHOLLOWS U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDG

GGH/055 Kidd.so.wpd

United States District Court for the Eastern District of California June 4, 2003

* * CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE * *

2:03-cv-00225

Kidd

v.

US Dept of the Army

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California.

That on June 4, 2003, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office, or, pursuant to prior authorization by counsel, via facsimile.

> Devvy Kidd 2133 Sherington Way Sacramento, CA 95835

Yoshinori H T Himel United States Attorney 501 I Street Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 VC/GGH

Jack L. Wagner, Clerk

Deputy Clerk

Case No: 2:03-cv-225 Document No: 10, 1 Copy Printed: Jun, 4, 2003 04:13 PM

Devvy Kidd 2133 Sherington Way Sacramento, CA 95835

FILED

JUN - 4 2003

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEVVY KIDD,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-03-225 DFL GGH PS

vs.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY,

Defendant.

ORDER

The parties have filed separate status reports. It appearing that the parties have made the disclosures required by Rule 26, that no further discovery is contemplated, and that this case is susceptible of resolution by motion, the court at this time will set only a date by which dispositive motions should be filed.

Accordingly, it is ordered that law and motion shall be conducted so as to be completed by October 2, 2003. The word "completed" in this context means that all law and motion matters must be heard by the above date. The parties are cautioned to refer to the local rules regarding the requirements for noticing such motions on the court's regularly scheduled law and motion calendar. This paragraph does not preclude motions for continuances, temporary restraining orders or other emergency applications

/////

The parties should keep in mind that the purpose of law and motion is to narrow and refine the legal issues raised by the case, and to dispose of by pretrial motion those issues that are susceptible to resolution without trial. To accomplish that purpose, the parties need to identify and fully research the issues presented by the case, and then examine those issues in light of the evidence gleaned through discovery. If it appears to the parties after examining the legal issues and facts that an issue can be resolved by pretrial motion, the parties are to file the appropriate motion to be heard by the law and motion cutoff set forth supra.

Thereafter, the court will set dates for further proceedings if necessary.

GREGORY G. HOLLOWS U. S. MAGISTIKATE JUDGE

GGH/055 Kidd.so.w

United States District Court for the Eastern District of California June 4, 2003

* * CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE * *

2:03-cv-00225

Kidd

V.

US Dept of the Army

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California.

That on June 4, 2003, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office, or, pursuant to prior authorization by counsel, via facsimile.

Devvy Kidd 2133 Sherington Way Sacramento, CA 95835

Yoshinori H T Himel United States Attorney 501 I Street Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 VC/GGH

Jack L. Wagner, Clerk

Deputy Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

DEVVY KIDD,)	EUT
Plaintiff))	
V.	Civil Action No. 03-0784 (HHK)	
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY,)	
Defendant.)	

PRAECIPE

The clerk of this court will please enter the appearance of Special Assistant United States

Attorney Claes H. Lewenhaupt Wilson as counsel for the defendant, Department of the Army, in
the above captioned case.

Respectfully submitted,

CLAES H. LEWENHAUPT

Special Assistant United States Attorney

Judiciary Center Building

555 4th St., N.W. Room 10-452

Washington, D.C. 20530

(202)353-9895

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 5th day of August, 2003, a true and correct copy of the

foregoing was sent by first class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to:

Devvy Kidd 2133 Sherington Way Sacramento, CA 95835

Plaintiff

Claes H. Lewenhaupt

Special Assistant U.S. Attorney 555 Fourth Street, N.W., 10-452

Washington, D.C. 20530

(202) 353-9895



JUN 1 9 2003

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEPUTY CLESA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10	DEVVY KIDD,					
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-03-225 DFL GGH PS					
12	vs.					
13	DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY,					
14	Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>					
15						
16	Under the FOIA venue statute, 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4)(B), venue is always proper in					
17	the District of Columbia. Accordingly, pursuant to the request of the parties, this case is					
18	transferred to the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.					
19	DATED: June <u>/ 8</u> , 2003.					
20						
21	21. 91.400.					
22	GREGORY C HOLLOWS	3 (0)				
	U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE					

GGH/055 kidd.tm.wpd

United States District Court for the Eastern District of California June 19, 2003

* * CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE * *

2:03-cv-00225

Kidd

v.

US Dept of the Army

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California.

That on June 19, 2003, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office, or, pursuant to prior authorization by counsel, via facsimile.

Devvy Kidd 2133 Sherington Way Sacramento, CA 95835

HV/DFL

VC/GGH

Yoshinori H T Himel United States Attorney 501 I Street Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

TERMINATED DEADLINES

Jack L. Wagner, Clerk

Donutry Clay